

National Bridge Inventory: Colorado

- The state has identified needed repairs on 1,242 bridges.
- This compares to 1,298 bridges that needed work in 2020.
- Over the life of the IIJA, Colorado will receive a total of \$225.0 million in bridge formula funds, which will help make needed repairs. •
- Colorado currently has access to \$135.0 million of that total, and has committed \$60.7 million towards 62 projects as of June 2024. •
- Of the 8,965 bridges in the state, 432, or 4.8 percent, are classified as structurally deficient. This means one of the key elements is in poor or • worse condition.
- This is down from 481 bridges classified as structurally deficient in 2020. .
- The deck area of structurally deficient bridges accounts for 3.7 percent of total deck area on all structures. .

| 34 Compared to 36 in 2023 in the nation in % of structurally deficient bridges | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| 1. Iowa | 19.0% | | | |
| 33. Idaho | 5.0% | | | |
| 34. Colorado | 5.0% | | | |
| 35. Ohio | 5.0% | | | |

Compared to 32 in 2023 in the nation in # of structurally deficient bridges

| 1. Iowa | 4,544 |
|----------------|-------|
| 29. Washington | 462 |
| 30. Colorado | 432 |
| 31. New Jersey | 410 |

Compared to 34 in 2023 in the nation in % of structurally deficient bridge deck area

| 1. Rhode Island | 14.0% |
|-----------------|-------|
| 34. Vermont | 4.0% |
| 35. Colorado | 4.0% |
| 36. Ohio | 4.0% |

Number of Bridges in Need of Repair, Including Structurally Deficient Bridges



Number of Structurally Deficient Bridges



Top Most Traveled Structurally Deficient Bridges in Colorado

| County | Year Built | Daily Crossings | Type of Bridge | Location |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Denver | 1971 | 128,000 | Urban Interstate | I 225 ML over Goldsmith Gulch |
| Denver | 1989 | 107,500 | Urban freeway/expressway | Ramp to I 25 NBnd over US 6 MI |
| Jefferson | 1972 | 101,000 | Urban freeway/expressway | US 6 ML over SH 121 MI |
| Jefferson | 1967 | 92,000 | Urban Interstate | I 70 ML over Harlan Street |
| Denver | 1962 | 60,000 | Urban freeway/expressway | SH 35 ML over Sand Creek |
| Jefferson | 1967 | 57,000 | Urban Interstate | I 70 ML WBnd over SH 391 MI |
| Jefferson | 1967 | 57,000 | Urban Interstate | I 70 ML EBnd over SH 391 MI |
| Jefferson | 1968 | 56,000 | Urban Interstate | I 70 ML WBnd over SH 72 MI |
| Adams | 1969 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML WBnd over SH 265 MI,UP RR,BNSF RR |
| Adams | 1969 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML EBnd over ditch Rd,Burlington Cana |
| Adams | 1969 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML WBnd over South Platte River |
| Adams | 1970 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML WBnd over Service Rd, BNSF RR |
| Adams | 1969 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML EBnd over South Platte River |
| Adams | 1969 | 48,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML WBnd over ditch Rd,Burlington Cana |
| Clear Creek | 1936 | 47,000 | Rural local road | I 70 Frontage Rd over Clear Creek Sr |
| Adams | 1968 | 46,500 | Urban Interstate | I 270 ML WBnd over Dahlia Street |
| Arapahoe | 1955 | 46,000 | Urban other principal arterial | US 285 ML over Little Dry Creek |
| Jefferson | 1968 | 45,500 | Urban Interstate | I 70 ML WBnd over West 20th Ave |
| Adams | 1975 | 43,499 | Urban other principal arterial | 120th Avenue over Farmers Highline Cnl |
| Adams | 1967 | 42,000 | Urban Interstate | I 76 ML EBnd over York Street |
| Clear Creek | 1959 | 41,000 | Rural minor arterial | Ramp to US 6 ML over Clear Creek R |
| Adams | 1940 | 40,000 | Urban other principal arterial | SH 6 ML over Sand Creek |
| Arapahoe | 1969 | 38,305 | Urban collector | Alameda Ave over I 225 MI |
| Jefferson | 1984 | 38,000 | Urban Interstate | I 76 ML WBnd over Clear Creek |
| Jefferson | 1982 | 38,000 | Urban Interstate | I 76 ML WBnd over Ramp to SH 121 MI |
| | | | | |

Bridge Inventory: Colorado

| Type of Bridge | Number of Bridges | Area of All Bridges (sq. meters) | Daily Crossings on All Bridges | Number of Structurally Deficient Bridges | Area of Structurally Deficient Bridges (sq. meters) | Daily Crossings on Structurally Deficient Bridges |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Rural Interstate | 545 | 470,606 | 6,521,814 | 26 | 22,062 | 268,000 |
| Rural arterial | 608 | 328,910 | 3,523,703 | 17 | 5,606 | 67,936 |
| Rural minor arterial | 687 | 277,462 | 1,502,340 | 39 | 14,499 | 112,163 |
| Rural major collector | 697 | 234,564 | 911,960 | 46 | 9,440 | 41,376 |
| Rural minor collector | 826 | 200,034 | 590,094 | 52 | 9,009 | 28,065 |
| Rural local road | 1,983 | 363,857 | 975,994 | 125 | 24,179 | 131,019 |
| Urban Interstate | 565 | 896,001 | 32,440,000 | 25 | 26,263 | 1,182,032 |
| Urban freeway/expressway | 434 | 601,548 | 14,947,571 | 12 | 22,122 | 398,750 |
| Urban other principal arterial | 581 | 713,720 | 12,100,120 | 24 | 25,972 | 460,565 |
| Urban minor arterial | 581 | 408,292 | 6,034,855 | 19 | 13,654 | 179,669 |
| Urban collector | 518 | 311,934 | 3,518,875 | 19 | 8,807 | 108,896 |
| Urban local road | 940 | 402,202 | 3,281,345 | 28 | 9,961 | 109,614 |
| Total | 8,965 | 5,209,130 | 86,348,671 | 432 | 191,574 | 3,088,085 |

Proposed Bridge Work

| Type of Work | Number of Bridges | Cost to Repair (in millions) | Daily Crossings | Area of Bridges (sq. meters) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Bridge replacement | 244 | \$371 | 1,764,460 | 112,705 |
| Widening & rehabilitation | 247 | \$344 | 3,205,729 | 154,871 |
| Rehabilitation | 389 | \$436 | 2,950,341 | 197,915 |
| Deck rehabilitation/replacement | 37 | \$78 | 518,826 | 34,850 |
| Other structural work | 325 | \$445 | 3,394,222 | 199,709 |
| Total | 1,242 | \$1,674 | 11,833,578 | 700,050 |

About the data:

Data and cost estimates are from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) National Bridge Inventory (NBI), downloaded on August 20, 2024. Note that specific conditions on bridges may have changed as a result of recent work or updated inspections.

Effective January 1, 2018, FHWA changed the definition of structurally deficient as part of the final rule on highway and bridge performance measures, published May 20, 2017 pursuant to the 2012 federal aid highway bill Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). Two measures that were previously used to classify bridges as structurally deficient are no longer used. This includes bridges where the overall structural evaluation was rated in poor or worse condition, or where the adequacy of waterway openings was insufficient.

The new definition limits the classification to bridges where one of the key structural elements—the deck, superstructure, substructure or culverts, are rated in poor or worse condition. During inspection, the conditions of a variety of bridge elements are rated on a scale of 0 (failed condition) to 9 (excellent condition). A rating of 4 is considered "poor" condition.

Cost estimates have been derived by ARTBA, based on 2023 average bridge replacement costs for structures on and off the National Highway System, published by FHWA. Bridge rehabilitation costs are estimated to be 68 percent of replacement costs. A bridge is considered to need repair if the structure has identified repairs as part of the NBI, a repair cost estimate is supplied by the bridge owner or the bridge is classified as structurally deficient. Please note that for a few states, the number of bridges needing to be repaired can vary significantly from year to year, and reflects the data entered by the state.

Bridges are classified by FHWA into types based on the functional classification of the roadway on the bridge. Interstates comprise routes officially designated by the Secretary of Transportation. Other principal arterials serve major centers of urban areas or provide mobility through rural areas. Freeways and expressways have directional lanes generally separated by a physical barrier, and access/egress points generally limited to on- and off-ramps. Minor arterials serve smaller areas and are used for trips of moderate length. Collectors funnel traffic from local roads to the arterial network; major collectors have higher speed limits and traffic volumes and are longer in length and spaced at greater intervals, while minor collectors are shorter and provide service to smaller communities. Local roads do not carry through traffic and are intended for short distance travel.